2537 Regent St., Apt. 202
Berkeley, Calif. 94704
September 5, 1968

Harold Weisberg
Route 8
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold,

Your most interesting manuscript arrived in good condition; here are my comments, which I hope will be useful. I have given the ms to Jonn to copy and read. Steve Burton, who was up here last weekend, said that you had asked him to contact us for a copy to read; Jonn should have sent the original to him by now, and Steve will return it as soon as possible.

From the Archives, dated August 19: "We shall [redacted] prepare and send the rather large number of copies ordered in your letters of June 29 and July 16 as time is available to do so. The work of withdrawing pages from large documents and refiling them is very time-consuming. Some of the copies have [redacted] been sent to you." The last sentence refers to 23 pages from 7 files; I just received [redacted] 27 pages from 3 files; my order of June 29 is still not completed. Jim Schmitt got 56 pages from 13 files, which he ordered on 8/3, on 8/26. I must have asked for something interesting!

Enclosures from the "FPCC [redacted] file": CD 59, page 4 (Patrick) - maybe for real?; CD 205, pp. 272-4: a similar incident; note that Carney seems responsible, and fairly sure of himself. He claims three meetings plus several phone calls with "Oswald." CD 6, p. 141 (Thornley) you may already have. CD 87, SS517: [redacted] worth reading a few times. It may or may not be accidental that the Secret Service's inquiries into [redacted] Oswald's literature printing were the ones which turned off the FBI. A bit odd.

My microfilm of the executive session transcripts [redacted] shows no [redacted] sign of the 1/22 meeting. Interesting that the first page of the [redacted] final session is transcript; only the rest is only minutes. This suggests strongly that a transcript was indeed made. The material you sent from GA1-FBI is most interesting; I suspect that there are some carefully worded items in this file whose value is not readily apparent. I will respond to the other points in your several recent mailings as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Paul